

THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE FINALE FUTURE PARTICIPLE IN NEW TESTAMENT GREEK

BY

ANTONIOS PAPANICOLAOU

The finale future participle is constructed with verbs of movement in the classic authors¹. This use of finale participle is continued in the Hellenistic² and especially in the atticistic literature³. In the Common — Koine language, however, the use of finale future participle is very much restricted; instead of future participle occurs the present participle or the infinitive of purpose or the analysis of this infinitive in — *ὅτι* with conjunctive⁴.

In this article I will research the use of finale participle in New Testament. The participle of future is seldom used after the moving verbs⁵. I will examine this use separately in each work of the Holy Scripture.

The Evangelist Matthew uses the finale future participle only once: 27,49, *ἄφες ἴδωμεν εἰ ἔρχεται Ἡλίας σώσων αὐτόν*.

Otherwise he uses the infinitive of purpose instead of the participle of future — one change of the Hellenistic language⁶ — in the following passages:

1. Stahl, J. M.: *Kritisch-historische Syntax des griechischen Verbums der klassischen Zeit*. Heidelberg 1907 p. 685. Heikel, J. A.: *De participiorum apud Herodotum usu*. Helsingforsiae 1884 p. 117 f., 119.

2. This construction is no Atticismus. See L. Radermacher: *Neutestamentliche Grammatik. Das Griechisch des N.T. im Zusammenhang mit der Volkssprache*. 2. Aufl. Tübingen 1925 p. 209.

3. See examples by A. Papanikolaou: *Zur Sprache Charitons*. Diss. Köln 1963 p. 84-90.

4. Compare my dissertation, *Zur Sprache Charitons*, p. 84, 85, 86 f.

5. Blass-Debrunner, *Grammatik des neutestamentlichen Griechisch*. 10. Aufl. Göttingen 1959 § 351. L. Radermacher, *Neutest. Gramm.* p. 209. S. Antoniadis: *L'Évangile de Luc. Esquisse de Grammaire et de stule*. Paris 1930 p. 282. Ernest de Witt Burton: *Syntax of the moods and tenses in New Testament Greek*. Third edition. Edinburg 1955 p. 70 f., 171. C. F. D. Moule: *A. idiom Book of New Testament Greek*. Cambridge 1953 p. 103. James H. Moulton: *A Grammar of New Testament Greek 3. ed.* Edinburgh 1908 p. 230. Also see James H. Moulton, *An introduction to the study of New Testament Greek*. 5th edition revised by Henry G. Meecham. New York 1955 § 254,4.

6. Blass-Debr. § 390 - G.N. Hatzidakis, *Einleitung in die neugriechische Gram-*

2,2 ἤλθομεν προσκυνῆσαι αὐτῷ. instead of προσκυνήσοντες.

4, 1 Τότε ὁ Ἰησοῦς ἀνήχθη εἰς τὴν ἔρημον ὑπὸ τοῦ πνεύματος πειραθῆναι ὑπὸ τοῦ διαβόλου,

5,17 Μὴ νομίσητε ὅτι ἤλθον καταλῦσαι τὸν νόμον ἢ τοὺς προφῆτας· οὐκ ἤλθον καταλῦσαι, ἀλλὰ πληρῶσαι.

8,29 — 9,13¹ — 10,34 — 10,35 — 11,7 — 11,8—11,9—12,42 — 14,23.
20,1 — 20,28 — 22,3 — 22,11 — 24,1 — 25,10 — 26,55 — 28,1 — 28,8

In two passages he writes the infinitive with the article genitive instead of a finale future participle:

3,13 Τότε παραγίνεται ὁ Ἰησοῦς ἀπὸ τῆς Γαλιλαίας ἐπὶ τὸν Ἰορδάνην πρὸς τὸν Ἰωάννην τοῦ βαπτισθῆναι ὑπ' αὐτοῦ.

11,1 μετέβη ἐκεῖθεν τοῦ διδάσκειν καὶ κηρῦσσειν ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν αὐτῶν.

In the next passages the Evangelist avoids the future participle and writes as follows:

8,7 Ἐγὼ ἐλθὼν θεραπεύσω αὐτόν.

The meaning of this passages must be expressed so:

Ἐγὼ ἐλεύσομαι θεραπεύσων αὐτόν.

In the passages 11,10 he analyzes the due participle of future in a relative sentence:

Ἴδου ἐγὼ ἀποστέλλω τὸν ἄγγελόν μου πρὸ προσώπου σου, ὃς κατασκευάσει τὴν ὁδὸν σου ἔμπροσθέν σου.

Instead of..... ἀποστέλλω τὸν ἄγγελόν μου.... κατασκευάσοντα.....².

In the two following examples he uses the indicative future paratactically instead of future participle:

13,41 ἀποστελεῖ ὁ υἱὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου τοὺς ἀγγέλους αὐτοῦ, καὶ συλλέξουσιν....., καὶ βαλοῦσιν αὐτούς.....

Instead of: συλλέξοντας καὶ βαλοῦντας

The same as in 24,31 καὶ ἀποστελεῖ τοὺς ἀγγέλους αὐτοῦ μετὰ σάλπιγγος φωνῆς μεγάλης, καὶ ἐπισυνάξουσιν τοὺς ἐκλεκτοὺς αὐτοῦ.....

Instead of ... ἐπισυνάξοντας.....

As known, in the Common language the present participle takes

matik. Leipzig 1892 p. 226. L. Radermacher (Neutest. Gramm. p. 186) writes: «Die Koine geht darüber hinaus, in dem sie zu Wörtern wie *λέγειν*, *πορεύεσθαι* d. h. zu echten Intransitiva, einen Infinitiv fügt, um den Zweck des Kommens, Gehens u. s. w. anzugeben. So steht er oft im Neuen Testament und in verwandter Literatur». See also J. H. Moulton, An introduction § 281 1.

1. Compare the same passage by Mark. 2,17 and Luke 5,32.

2. Compare the same passage in Mark's Gospel 1,2.

the place of the finale future participle. This use is extended principally in the New Testament¹.

In Matthew's Gospel I found the next examples:

3,1 παραγίνεται Ἰωάννης ὁ βαπτιστὴς κηρῦσων ἐν τῇ ἐρήμῳ τῆς Ἰουδαίας καὶ λέγων· μετανοεῖτε·

8,5 προσῆλθεν αὐτῷ ἑκατόνταρχος παρακαλῶν αὐτὸν καὶ λέγων· 9,14 — 11,19 — 14,25 — 20,20 — 26,17.

On the contrary, the Evangelist Mark uses the present participle after the moving verbs only in two passages:

1,14 Μετὰ δὲ τὸ παραδοθῆναι Ἰωάννην ἦλθεν ὁ Ἰησοῦς εἰς τὴν Γαλιλαίαν κηρῦσων τὸ εὐαγγέλιον τῆς βασιλείας τοῦ Θεοῦ καὶ λέγων ὅτι

And in 5,35 Ἔτι αὐτοῦ λαλοῦντος ἔρχονται ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀρχισυναγώγου λέγοντες ὅτι².

The future participle does not exist in Mark's Gospel. He uses, however, the same example of Matthew 11,10 in the passage 1,2: Ἴδού ἐγὼ ἀποστέλλω τὸν ἀγγελόν μου πρὸ προσώπου σου, ὃς κατασκευάσει τὴν ὁδόν σου ἔμπροσθέν σου. Compare also Luke 7,26.

The infinitive I found in 5 passages:

1,24 ἦλθες ἀπολέσαι ἡμᾶς;

2,17 οὐκ ἦλθον καλέσαι δικαίους, ἀλλὰ ἁμαρτωλοὺς εἰς μετάνοιαν³.

3,14 — 3,21 — 5,14.

As stated above, the finale infinitive may be analyzed with ἵνα and conjunctive in the Hellenistic period and mostly in the Koine language⁴. This substitution of the infinitive with ἵνα and conjunctive, and in modern Greek language with νὰ and conj. was the cause of the disappearance of the infinitive from the language of today.

Matthew does not use ἵνα with conjunctive instead of a infinitive. By Mark's Gospel I found it in the following passages:

1. See Blass-Debr. § 351.

2. Compare Luke 8,49.

3. Compare Matth. 9,13-Luke 5,32.

4. See: Blass-Debr. § 390,3, 392 - G. N. Hatzidakis, Einl. p. 209, 214 - A. N. Jannaris, An historical Greek Grammar. London 1897. § 2158. - L. Radermacher, Koine. in Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien. 224,5 1947 p. 46 - Zur Sprache Charitons, p. 86 f. S. Antoniadis, L' Evangile de Luc p. 297 - Hubert Pernot: Etudes sur la langue des Evangiles. Paris 1927 p. 147 - Burton, Syntax of the moods... in N. Test. p. 86 f. - G. B. Winer: Grammatik des Neutestamentlichen Sprachidioms. Leipzig 1855 p. 299 f.

The development of the finale future participle in New Testament Greek 627

- 1,38 ἄγωμεν εἰς τὰς ἐχομένας κωμοπόλεις, ἵνα καὶ ἐκεῖ κηρύξω.
Instead of κηρύξαι/κηρύξων¹.
- 5,12 πέμψον ἡμᾶς εἰς τοὺς χοίρους, ἵνα εἰς αὐτοὺς εἰσέλθωμεν.
Instead of πέμψον ἡμᾶς..... εἰσιόντας ἢ εἰσελευσομένους.
- 12,13 Καὶ ἀποστέλλουσι πρὸς αὐτὸν τινὰς τῶν Φαρισαίων καὶ τῶν Ἡ-
ραδιανῶν ἵνα αὐτὸν ἀγρεύσωσι λόγῳ. Instead of ἀγρεύσοντας.
- 14,10 ἀπῆλθε πρὸς τοὺς ἀρχιερεῖς ἵνα παραδῶ αὐτὸν αὐτοῖς.
Instead of παραδώσω.

The Evangelist Luke mostly writes the infinitive after the moving verbs:

- 1,17 καὶ αὐτὸς προελεύσεται ἐνώπιον αὐτοῦ....., ἐπιστρέψαι καρ-
δίας, ἔτοιμάσαι Κυρίῳ λαὸν.....
- 1,19 καὶ ἀπεστάλην λαλῆσαι πρὸς σε καὶ εὐαγγελίσασθαί σοι ταῦτα·
- 1,76 — 2,3² — 2,4 — 3,12 — 4,16 — 4,18 — 4,19 — 5,15 — 5,32³ —
6,12 — 6,17 — 7,24 — 7,25 — 7,26 — 8,35 — 9,2 — 9,28 — 9,52 — 11,31 —
12,49 — 12,51 — 14,17 — 14,19 — 14,31 — 15,15 — 19,7 — 19,10.

In the following examples Luke uses the genitive of article with the infinitive instead of participle future:⁴

- 24,19 Καὶ εἰσῆλθεν τοῦ μεῖναι σὺν αὐτοῖς. —instead of μενῶν.
1,77

He analyzes this infinitive only twice:

- 19,4 καὶ προδραμῶν ἔμπροσθεν ἀνέβη ἐπὶ συκομορέαν, ἵνα ἴδῃ αὐτόν....
- 20,20ἀπέστειλαν ἐγκαθέτους,, ἵνα ἐπιλάβωνται αὐτοῦ λόγου....

He often uses the present participle:

- 8,1 καὶ αὐτὸς διώδευε κατὰ πόλιν καὶ κώμην κηρύσσων καὶ εὐαγγε-
λιζόμενος τὴν βασιλείαν τοῦ Θεοῦ.
- 8,39 — 8,49⁵ — 13,6 — 13,7 — 19,14 — 19,20.

Luke never uses the future participle. He avoids it in the examples:

1. Not only after τέ verbs of movement, but after other verbs as παρακαλῶ, παραγγέλλω, θέλω etc., is used, in place of infinitive the conjunction ἵνα with conjunctive. Compare for example:

Mark 5.10 παρεκάλει αὐτὸν πολλὰ ἵνα μὴ ἀποστείλῃ αὐτοὺς ἔξω τῆς χώρας.

5, 18—6,8—6,25.

Luke 8, 31 καὶ παρεκάλει αὐτὸν ἵνα μὴ ἐπιτάξῃ αὐτοῖς...

John 12,10 ἐβουλεύσαντο δὲ οἱ ἀρχιερεῖς ἵνα καὶ τὸν Λάζαρον ἀποκτείνωσιν.

Paul Corinth. A. 1,10 Παρακαλῶ δὲ ὑμᾶς,...., ἵνα τὸ αὐτὸ λέγητε πάντες,....
16.12.

2. See J. H. Moulton, An introduction § 281,1.

3. Compare Mark 2,17 - Matth. 9,13.

4. J. H. M o u l t o n , An introduction. § 281,3.

5. Compare the same passage by Mark 5,35.

12,46 ἤξει ὁ Κύριος..., καὶ διχοτομήσει..... καὶ θήσει.

Instead of ἤξει ὁ Κύριος... διχοτομήσων ... καὶ... θήσων.

2,15 διέλθωμεν δὴ ὡς Βηθλεεμ καὶ ἴδωμεν τὸ ρῆμα τοῦτο....

Instead of ὀψόμενοι.

The example mentioned above (p. 3): Luke 7,26 Ἰδοὺ ἐγὼ ἀποστέλλω τὸν ἄγγελόν μου πρὸ προσώπου σου, ὃς κατασκευάσει τὴν ὁδὸν σου ἔμπροσθέν σου. Instead of κατασκευάσοντα¹.

The Evangelist John also does not use the future participle. He sets the infinitive 5 times:

1,33 ἀλλ' ὁ πέμψας με βαπτίζειν ἐν ὕδατι,

4,7 ἔρχεται γυνὴ ἐκ τῆς Σαμαρείας ἀντλήσαι ὕδωρ.

4,38 — 9,7 — 14,2.

Only once he writes the present participle after the verb ἦλθον:

6,24 ἐνέβησαν αὐτοὶ εἰς τὰ πλοῖα καὶ ἦλθον εἰς Καπερναοὺμ ζητοῦντες τὸν Ἰησοῦν.

Elsewhere he sets ἵνα with conjunctive. I found 18 such examples:

1,7 Οὗτος ἦλθεν εἰς μαρτυρίαν, ἵνα μαρτυρήσῃ περὶ τοῦ φωτός,

1,19 ὅτε ἀπέστειλαν οἱ Ἰουδαῖοι ἐξ Ἱεροσολύμων ἱερεῖς καὶ Λευίτας ἵνα ἐρωτήσωσιν αὐτόν·

3,17 — 4,8 — 6,38 — 7,32 — 11,11 — 11,16 — 11,19 — 11,31 — 11,55
12,9 — 12,20 — 12,23 — 12,47 — 14,3 — 18,28 — 18,37.

We see that the Evangelist John principally prefers this common use more than the other Writers of the New Testament. It is a characteristic of His popular language.

It is noted that in the Acta of Apostles the future participle exists in 5 passages:

8,27 ὃς ἐληλύθει προσκυνήσων εἰς Ἱερουσαλήμ.

22,5 παρ' ὧν καὶ ἐπιστολάς δεξάμενος πρὸς τοὺς ἀδελφοὺς εἰς Δαμασκὸν ἐπορευόμεν ἄξων καὶ τοὺς ἐκεῖσε ὄντας δεδεμένους.....

24,11 ἀφ' ἧς ἀνέβη προσκυνήσων εἰς Ἱερουσαλήμ.

24,17 δι' ἐτῶν πλειόνων παρεγενόμεν ἐλεημοσύνας ποιήσων εἰς τὸ ἔθνος μου καὶ προσφοράς.....

25,13 Ἀγρίππας ὁ βασιλεὺς καὶ Βερνίκη κατήντησαν εἰς Καισάρειαν ἁσπασόμενοι τὸν Φῆστον (with v. 1 ἁσπασάμενοι):

There is also the present participle in 7 passages:

3,8 καὶ εἰσῆλθε σὺν αὐτοῖς εἰς τὸ ἱερόν περιπατῶν καὶ ἀλλόμενος καὶ αἰνῶν τὸν Θεόν.

3,26... Ἰησοῦν ἀπέστειλεν αὐτὸν εὐλογοῦντα ὁμᾶς.....

1. See Matth. 11,10 - Mark 1,2.

Instead of εὐλογήσοντα.

8,4 — 15,27 — 17,13 — 20,25 — 21,26.

The infinitive is used 9 times:

7,23 ἀνέβη εἰς τὴν καρδίαν αὐτοῦ ἐπισκέψασθαι τοὺς ἀδελφούς.....

7,34 καὶ κατέβην ἐξελεῖσθαι αὐτούς·

10,9 — 11,22 — 11,25 — 12,13 — 17,14 — 20,16 — 26,18.

Ἰνα with conjunctive is used only twice in 16,36 and 22,5.

In the Epistles of Apostle Paul I found as follows:

Rom. present participle: 15,25 νυνὶ δὲ πορεύομαι εἰς Ἱερουσαλὴμ
διακονῶν τοῖς ἁγίοις.

Cor.: Infinitive: A. 1,17 οὐ γὰρ ἀπέστειλέ με Χριστὸς βαπτίζειν,
ἀλλὰ εὐαγγελίζεσθαι,

A. 16,3 ...Τούτους πέμψω ἀπενεργεῖν τὴν χάριν....

Ἰνα: A. 16,11 Προπέμψατε δὲ αὐτὸν ἐν εἰρήνῃ ἵνα ἔλθῃ
πρὸς με·

In the following example there is the analysis of the future participle in a relative sentence:¹

Cor.: A 4,17 Διὰ τοῦτο ἔπεμψα ὑμῖν Τιμόθεον,, ὃς ὑμᾶς ἀνα-
μνήσει τὰς ὁδοὺς μου τὰς ἐν Χριστῷ, Instead of ἀνα-
μνήσοντα.

Galat: Infinitive: 1,18 ...ἀνῆλθον εἰς Ἱεροσόλυμα ἱστορῆσαι.....
2,4 οἵτινες παρεισῆλθον κατασκοπῆσαι.....

Ἰνα: 4,4 ἐξἀπέστειλεν ὁ Θεὸς τὸν υἱὸν αὐτοῦ,.....,

Ephes.: Ἰνα: 6,22 ὃν ἔπεμψα πρὸς ὑμᾶς εἰς αὐτὸ τοῦτο, ἵνα
γινῶτε τὰ περὶ ἡμῶν καὶ παρακαλέσῃ τὰς
καρδίας ὑμῶν.

The same passage also exists in Colassaeis 4.8.

In the Thessal. Epistle A. there is the infinitive with the preposition εἰς in two passages, instead of a simple finale future participle:
A. 3,2 καὶ ἐπέμψαμεν Τιμόθεον,....., εἰς τὸ στηρίξαι ὑμᾶς καὶ παρακαλέ-
σαι.....

A. 3,5... ἔπεμψα εἰς τὸ γινῶναι τὴν πίστιν ὑμῶν,

Timoth.: Infinitive: A. 1,15 ὅτι Χριστὸς Ἰησοῦς ἦλθεν εἰς τὸν
κόσμον ἁμαρτωλοὺς σῶσαι,

Ἰνα: A. 1,3 πορευόμενος εἰς Μακεδονίαν, ἵνα πα-
ραγγείλῃς τισὶ μὴ ἑτεροδιδασκαλεῖν.....

B. 4,17 Ὁ δὲ Κύριός μοι παρέστη καὶ ἐνεδυ-

1. Compare above the examples of: Matth. 11,10

Mark 1,2.

Luke 7,26.

νάμωσέ με, ἵνα δι' ἐμοῦ τὸ κήρυγμα
πληροφορηθῆῖ καὶ ἀκούσῃ πάντα τὰ
ἔθνη· (v. l. ἀκούσωσιν).

In Hebrew's Epistle:

Infinitive: 9,24 .. εἰσῆλθεν ὁ Χριστός,, νῦν ἐφανερωθῆναι τῷ προ-
σώπῳ τοῦ Θεοῦ ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν.

Ἴνα in : 4,16 προσερχώμεθα οὖν μετὰ παρρησίας τῷ θρόνῳ τῆς χά-
ριτος, ἵνα λάβωμεν ἔλεον καὶ χάριν εὐρωμεν εἰς εὐ-
καιρον βοήθειαν.

13,17

present participle: 13,13 τοίνυν ἐξερχώμεθα πρὸς αὐτὸν ἔξω τῆς
παρεμβολῆς τὸν ὄνειδισμὸν αὐτῷ φέροντες.

The participle φέροντες has here the meaning of «with». The
later authors use such participle, as also ἄγων, ἔχων, κομίζων after
moving verbs, not as finale, but as adverbial participle of manner¹.

Ὡς with future participle:

Hebr. 13,17 αὐτοὶ γὰρ ἀγρυπνοῦσιν ὑπὲρ τῶν ψυχῶν ὑμῶν ὡς λόγον
ἀποδώσοντες²

The Apostle John in His Revelation uses twice the present parti-
ciple:

6,2 καὶ ἐξῆλθεν νικῶν.

10,9 καὶ ἀπῆλθα πρὸς τὸν ἄγγελον, λέγων αὐτῷ δοῦναί μοι τὸ βιβλιδάριον.

The infinitive 6 times:

19,10 καὶ ἔπεσα ἔμπροσθεν τῶν ποδῶν αὐτοῦ προσκυνῆσαι αὐτῷ.

20,8 καὶ ἐξελεύσεται πλανῆσαι τὰ ἔθνη..., συναγαγεῖν αὐτούς.....

22,6 — 22,8 — 22,12 — 22,16.

He analyzes this infinitive to ἵνα and conjunctive 4 times:

6,2 καὶ ἐξῆλθε νικῶν καὶ ἵνα νικήσῃ.

8,6 Καὶ οἱ ἑπτὰ ἄγγελοι οἱ ἔχοντες τὰς ἑπτὰ σάλπιγγας ἠτοίμασαν
ἑαυτοὺς ἵνα σαλπίσωσι. Instead of ὡς σαλπιοῦντες or σαλπιοῦν-
τας.

19,15 — 19,17.

He never uses the future participle. In the next passage he avoids
it and writes the due future participle in indicative future paratacti-
cally:

2,16 μετανόησον· εἰ δὲ μή, ἔρχομαί σοι ταχὺ καὶ πολεμήσω μετ' αὐτῶν

1. See my dissertation, Zur Sprache Charitons, p. 87. - Blass-Debr. § 419.

2. Here he writes ὡς with the future participle, because the verb ἀγρυπνοῦσιν
has no meaning of movement. Cf. Zur Sprache Charitons, p. 84 f.

The development of the finale future participle in New Testament Greek 631

ἐν τῇ ῥομφαίᾳ τοῦ στόματός μου. Instead of ἔρχομαί σοι ταχὺ
πολεμήσων....

After this research with the respect to the syntax of the moving verbs I form the following conclusion:

The finale future participle already started from the New Testament —the principal sample of the Common Language— to be eliminated. All in all in the New Testament I found only 7 times this future participle (Matth. 27,49 — Acta Apost. 8,27 — 22,5 — 24,11 — 24,17 — 25,13 — Hebr. 13,17).

In its place comes the present participle. Besides this use, the infinitive¹ and its analysis to ἵνα conjunctive occurs. The Evangelist John mostly sets ἵνα with conjunctive after the moving verbs.

Another manner to avoid the future participle is the analysis in a relative sentence or the syntax of parataxe (see the example above 2,16 of John's Revelation, also cf. Matth. 13,41 — 24,31 — Luke 12,46 — 2,15).

This substitution of finale future participle to the infinitive of purpose and the analysis of this infinitive to ἵνα and conjunctive brought the result, generally both, future participle and infinitive, from the modern Greek language to have no existence.

1. The infinitive supplies constructions to express the idea of purpose with the article in the accusative after εἰς or πρὸς and with article in the genitive (see for example: Mtth. 20,19. παραδώσουσιν αὐτὸν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν εἰς τὸ ἐμπαῖξαι καὶ μαστιγῶσαι.

Rom. 3,26. ...εἰς τὸ εἶναι αὐτὸν δίκαιον καὶ δικαιῶντα...

Luke 24,29 καὶ εἰσῆλθεν τοῦ μεῖναι σὺν αὐτοῖς).